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Abstract

Over the course of history Marmara region in North-western Turkey has been the site of numerous destructive earthquakes. 76 km-long MARMARAY Project is an important project not only for Turkey but also for the world because it joins the two continents through railway. In this paper, using average wave velocities in layers, thickness, density and formation data based on the PS logs, 43 m and 65.5 m depths ranging from 7 different boring logs in a ground-wise different geological regions in Istanbul, ground response functions were obtained. Based on the soil profiles transferred to EERA and NERA softwares, the rock soil record of August 17, 1999 Kocaeli earthquake in İstanbul – Beşiktaş Ministry of Public Works and Settlement (IBMPWS), response and design spectrums that may be considered crucial in case of an earthquake were obtained. The acceleration record was used as an input motion having PGA value of 0.04287 g (east-west component) which was applied on sublayers (i.e. sand, gravel, clay) using EERA and NERA programs. Also nonlinear analysis was compared with the linear method of analysis. Stages involved in ground response analyses to develop site-specific response spectra at a soil site are summarized.
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1. Introduction

Site response analysis is usually the first step of any seismic soil-structure study. Evaluation of ground response is one of the most crucial problems encountered in geotechnical earthquake analysis. Ground response analyses are used to predict surface ground motions for development of design response spectra, to evaluate dynamic stresses and strains for evaluation of liquefaction hazards, and to determine the earthquake-induced forces that can lead to instability of earth and earth-retaining structures [8]. In this regard first quantitative studies have been conducted
using strong-motion data after 1970s. Several methods have been proposed for evaluating site effects by using ground motion data, such as soil-to-rock spectral ratios [4], a generalized inversion (e.g., [7]; [3], and horizontal-to-vertical spectral ratios (e.g., [11]; [10]; [5]; [14]; [1]; [2]; [9]. These methods are linear and nonlinear site response analysis. In order to conduct one-dimensional site response analyses, EERA [1] and NERA [2] softwares are used.

2. The equivalent linear site response analysis (EERA)

The nonlinearity of soil behavior is known very well thus most reasonable approaches to provide reasonable estimates of site response is very challenging area in geoscience. The theory of approximation of real nonlinear dynamic soil behavior by equivalent linear approach was proposed firstly by [12].

![Figure 1. One-dimensional layered soil deposit system (after [12]).](image)

3. Nonlinear and Hysteretic Model (NERA)

As illustrated in Fig. 2a, [6] proposed to model nonlinear stress-strain curves using a series of $n$ mechanical elements, having different stiffness $k_i$ and sliding resistance $R_i$. Hereafter, their model is referred to as the IM model. The sliders have increasing resistance (i.e., $R_1 < R_2 < \ldots < R_n$). Initially the residual stresses in all sliders are equal to zero. During a monotonic loading, slider $i$ yields when the shear stress $\tau$ reaches $R_i$. After having yielded, slider $i$ retains a positive residual stress equal to $R_i$ (Fig 2).

![Figure 2. Nonlinear stress-strain curves using a series of mechanical elements.](image)
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Figure 2. Backbone curve (left) during loading and hysteretic stress-strain loop (right) of IM model during loading-unloading cycle [2].

Figure 3. The geological Map of Study Area (modified from [13] Corporation).

4. Geological and Tectonic Setting

The geology of the area consists of Paleozoic and Cenozoic-age formations (Fig. 3). Based on surface geology investigations and evaluation of the findings of 107 borings carried out in the area and its vicinity for various purposes, the local geological sequence and soil profile are established. The Neogene sequence, deposition of which...
started transgressively in Late Oligocene, is composed of from bottom to top, basal gravel and conglomerate, interbedded green overconsolidated clay and sand, and in the upper zone, due to a lacustrine environment getting shallower at the end of the Miocene, gray-green sand, organic clay, white/cream marl and fossiliferous limestone interbedded with clay (Bakırköy fm.) as an uninterrupted sequence. MARMARAY line, from BH-119 borehole to until BH-130A boreholes are located in Güngören formation also to The Marmara Sea from BH-130A borehole is located in the Thrace formation. On the fault zone in the Thrace formation is clearly observed the intensity of tectonic deformation in the region. Accordingly, BH-107, BH-119 and BH-123 boreholes are comprised from sand, clay and gravel mixtures. BH-126, BH-130-A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes after deep the 30 m are composed of mudstone, claystone and sandstone.

5. The Linear and Nonlinear Site Response Analyses of the Study Area

The studied sites are subjected to ground motion caused by events originated in the plate inshore seismic zones. One acceleration record from in plate zones were selected for the site response analysis of the soil deposit. The earthquake Kocaeli 1999, with PGA (magnitude = 7.4 Mb) value of 0,04287 g, at Prime Ministry Disaster & Emergency Management Presidency İstanbul Station (PMDEMPIS) for site, the Fourier spectra is shown in Fig. 4.

![Figure 4. Record of accelerograph of horizontal component of The earthquake Kocaeli 1999 at IBMPWS station obtained from PMDEMPIS online virtual data center.](image)

Input time history are applied on each of the soil profiles by the EERA and NERA softwares to obtain the site responses, and the resulting database consisted of dynamic soil behavior, including spectral acceleration-time variation as well as its maximum. Seven exemplary surface spectral acceleration–period variations from different boreholes are given in Fig. 5.

6. Modeling of Profile Geometry and Soil Properties
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Generalized soil profiles were established from the borehole drilled at BH-107, BH-119, BH-123 BH-126, BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes. The wells are located along the MARMARAY line. All boreholes are located in alluvial soil. Because of the lower shear-wave zone and the lower shear strengths values were measured in boreholes. EERA and NERA programs obtained change of max shear stress with depth are shown in Fig. 6.

![Figure 5. Exemplary surface spectral acceleration–period relationships belonging to various boreholes of the investigation area and comparison of the earthquake Kocaeli 1999 elastic behavior acceleration spectrums with Turkish Earthquake Regulation Spectrums.](image)

Accordingly, the max shear stress-depth change in BH-126, BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes are seen in the range of 25 kPA-50 kPA in EERA method. If the method of NERA, the max shear stress-depth change in BH-123, BH-126, BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes are seen in the range of 20 kPA-40 kPA. Focusing on Fig. 7, it can be stressed that the alluvial region near The Marmara Sea, soils especially under Kazlıçeşme, Yenikapı and Zeytinburnu district the lowest shear wave velocities, ranging between 0–100 m/s. The shear wave velocity ($V_{30}$) variation of the soils given in Fig. 7 enlightens the reason of the low strength of the soils in the area, which is dominancy of these soil classes. Shear wave velocities of upper 65 m are between 194–518 m/s at BH-107, BH-119 and BH-123 boreholes. Shear wave velocities for layers deeper than 20 meters are between 782–2173 m/s at BH-126, BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes. According to the results of the EERA method solution; The amplitude ratios (1.3-8 ratio) values of acceleration are seen to be different in boreholes. The amplitude ratios of the BH-107, BH-119 and BH-123 are low. However, other boreholes, the amplitude ratios are high (3-8 ratio) (Fig.8).

Frequency of maximum amplification (Hz) and maximum amplification values are given in Table-1. Frequency of maximum amplification (Hz) in BH-123 borehole is high (7.4 Hz), BH-126 borehole is low (2.0 Hz). Similarly, maximum amplification in BH-130A borehole is high (7.83), BH-119 borehole is low (1.23).
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According to the results of the NERA method solution; the amplitude ratios (1.4 - 8.5 ratio) values of acceleration are seen to be different in boreholes. The amplitude ratios of the BH-107, BH-119, BH-130A and BH-134 are low. However, other boreholes, the amplitude ratios are high (5.5 - 8 ratio) (Fig. 8). Frequency of maximum
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amplification (Hz) and maximum amplification values are given in Table-1. Frequency of maximum amplification (Hz) in BH-126 borehole is high (49.7 Hz), BH-126 borehole is low (8.05 Hz).

Similarly, maximum amplification in BH-123 borehole is high (36.27), BH-119 borehole is low (2.0). Therefore, Fig. 9 demonstrates the variation of peak spectral acceleration values in the investigation area. Calculated surface spectral accelerations in the area ascend to 0.80 g, and the observed lowest value is 0.15 g. with EERA method. If the method of NERA, calculated surface spectral accelerations in the area ascend to 0.65 g, and the observed lowest value is 0.16 g. Because, these wells are located in the area of marine sediments.

Table 1. Maximum amplification and frequency of maximum amplification (Hz) of boreholes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boreholes</th>
<th>BH-107</th>
<th>BH-119</th>
<th>BH-123</th>
<th>BH-126</th>
<th>BH-130A</th>
<th>BH-134</th>
<th>BH-146</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>36.27</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of Maximum</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>18.92</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>48.68</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplification (Hz)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>28.62</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>12.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Analyzing the Table-1, it is seen that majority of BH-130A and BH-134 boreholes are in high acceleration category. According to the EERA and NERA methods, they are understood that majority of BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes are under high ground shaking risk (Table 2).

Table 2. Max Period (s) and max spectral acceleration (g) of boreholes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Boreholes</th>
<th>BH-107</th>
<th>BH-119</th>
<th>BH-123</th>
<th>BH-126</th>
<th>BH-130A</th>
<th>BH-134</th>
<th>BH-146</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max Period (s)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Spectral acceleration (g)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusions**

According to spectral acceleration-period graphics, there is a difference 300 m/s velocity between down layer and top layer in BH-130A borehole in EERA methods. Similarly, there is a difference 700 m/s velocity between down layer and top layer in BH-134 borehole, there is a difference 1900 m/s velocity between down layer and top layer.
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BH-146 borehole. Spectrums of BH-126, BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes show similar features (Fig. 9). According to EERA method, dominant period from 0.36 s to 0.37 s are increasing in BH-119, BH-130A and BH-134 boreholes. If the method of NERA, dominant period from 0.37 s to 0.53 s are increasing in BH-119, BH-126, BH-130A and BH-134 boreholes. Therefore, this area is expected to become more dominant low frequency S waves. Accelerations of the BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes at the time domain same results were scaled in both EERA and NERA methods. In both methods, maximum accelerations are input acceleration (0.0426 g) increase (1-2 storey). In acceleration the largest amplification (0.138 g) is in BH-130A borehole to EERA method (Table 3). Similarly in acceleration the largest amplification (0.120 g) are in BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes to NERA method (Table 3).

Table 3. The calculated maximum values of boreholes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole Number</th>
<th>Time Domain</th>
<th>Frequency Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acceleration (g)</td>
<td>Particular Velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Aug Kocaeli earthquake acceleration record of 0.04287 g was measured at the IBMPWS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-107</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-119</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-123</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-126</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-130A</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-134</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH-146</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lowest maximum acceleration was measured in the BH-119 borehole. For an input acceleration value of 0.0426 g, maximum accelerations of the BH-130A, BH-134 and BH-146 boreholes in the time domain are obtained to be between 0.42 - 0.65, indicating amplifications in the order of ten folds. These boreholes are considered to be located within the fault zone (Table 3). Since fundamental periods of boreholes labelled as BH-119, BH-130A and BH-134 are 0.37 s, sites of these boreholes are in Z3 soil class. On the other hand BH-130A and BH-134 boreholes are within the fault zone and their accelerations values are obtained to be high such as 0.64-065 g. Within the boreholes under investigation, the maximum fundamental period value (0.53 s) is estimated for BH-126 and the site of this borehole, therefore, is deemed suitable as Z4 soil class. The periods of the other boreholes (e.g. BH-107, BH-123 and BH-146) are in the range of 0.21-0.25 s and their sites are classified as Z2 soil class.
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